

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
WESTERN DIVISION
Case No. 5:22-CV-00068-BO

YOLANDA IRVING, individually and as the)
natural parent and guardian of J.I., JUWAN)
HARRINGTON, CYDNEEA)
HARRINGTON, KENYA WALTON,)
individually and as the natural parent and)
guardian of R.W., ZIYEL WHITLEY,)
DYAMOND WHITLEY, KAMISHA)
WHITLEY, NANETTA GRANT as the)
natural parent and guardian of Z.G., and)
EMANCIPATE NC, INC.,)

Plaintiffs,)

v.)

THE CITY OF RALEIGH, OFFICER OMAR)
I. ABDULLAH, SERGEANT WILLIAM)
ROLFE, OFFICER RISHAR PIERRE)
MONROE, OFFICER JULIEN DAVID)
RATTELADE, OFFICER MEGHAN)
CAROLINE GAY, JOHN and JANE DOE)
OFFICERS 1-10, in their individual)
capacities, Chief of Police ESTELLA)
PATTERSON, and City Manager)
MARCHELL ADAMS-DAVID, in their)
official capacities,)

Defendants.)

ORDER

This matter was heard by the undersigned on a motion, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b), by the Defendant City of Raleigh to extend time to serve an answer, motion, or otherwise plead in response to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint.

It appears to the Court that the time for serving an answer, motion, or otherwise pleading in response to the Amended Complaint has not expired, that Defendant City of Raleigh has not

previously been granted an extension of time to answer or otherwise plead in response to the Amended Complaint, that Plaintiffs' counsel has consented to the extension, and that good cause exists to grant this motion.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that Defendant City of Raleigh shall have up to and including **Thursday, June 30, 2022**, to serve an answer, motion or pleading in response to the Amended Complaint.

This the _____ day of _____, 2022.
